

Swanny River *says:*

June 22, 2017 at 6:45 pm

I appreciate the humor some of you are using in responding to Derek. What is your point Derek? That is, do you think the original point unnecessary because you think it is premised on a faulty interpretation? Are you throwing out a hypothetical about different ways of looking at Timothy? Are you using the post as an opportunity to correct us because you feel enlightened by your understanding and want to save us from the errors you thought you were making?

You brought out a side of Boxer I don't remember seeing. You seem similar to Art Toad or Dasgamer and Boxer was defending one or both of their logical validity and sourcing, but not so you. If you care to say Boxer, and if my summation is agreeable, could you say why the more rough handling of Derek? Derek, you see that your interpretation is going to play well with women, so since we take the heat from the church, it is up to you to say why your way just isn't appeasement. Do you have examples of rebuking your wife or female rebellion so we know you can take the heat when called upon?